Copperton Improvement District

Basic Financial Statements and Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants

December 31, 2006

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|----------------|
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS | 1 |
| REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS | 3 |
| BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN FUND NET ASSETS STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS | 10 11 12 |
| NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 13 |
| OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF WATER IMPACT FEES | 25 |
| REPORTS ON COMPLIANCE AND INTERNAL CONTROL | |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS | 27 |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON STATE LEGAL COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE OF UTAH LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT GUIDE | 29 |
| BOND RESOLUTION COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES AND REPORTS | |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH BOND RESOLUTION | 31 |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF NET REVENUES AND ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE | 32 |
| SCHEDULE OF NET REVENUES AND ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE | 33 |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF INSURANCE POLICIES IN FORCE | 34 |
| SCHEDULE OF INSURANCE POLICIES IN FORCE | 35 |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF WATER CONNECTIONS AND BILLINGS | 36 |
| SCHEDULE OF WATER CONNECTIONS AND BILLINGS | 37 |
| REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF FUNDS REQUIRED BY BOND RESOLUTION | 38 |
| SCHEDULE OF FUNDS REQUIRED BY BOND RESOLUTION | 39 |

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Board of Trustees
Copperton Improvement District

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Copperton Improvement District (the District) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Copperton Improvement District as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 7, 2007 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 8 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquires of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that comprise the District's basic financial statements. The other supplemental financial information on page 25 of this report is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Odome Folling & Bahler PLLC

May 7, 2007

Our discussion and analysis of the District's financial performance provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006. Please read it in conjunction with the District's basic financial statements, which begin on page 10.

Because this is the third year this analysis is being presented with comparative data from the previous year. This year's data will be analyzed for the current and multiple years.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The District's net assets increased by \$50,817 for 2006. The increase can be primarily attributed to three factors. One factor was an increase in property taxes of \$8,039 or 22% over the previous year. The increase is primarily due to new growth in the District. The second factor was a 65% increase in interest income. This increase was the result of higher interest rates earned and an increase in cash investments held by the District, although the cash investments are restricted for debt service and water system improvements. This resulted in an increase in interest income of \$11,080 over the previous year. The third factor was the collection of impact fees and connection fees on three new homes constructed within the District. The District collected \$5,313 in impact fees and \$1,227 in connection fees whereas no impact fee or connection fee revenues were collected in the preceding year.

Revenues, including property taxes totaled \$319,118 for the fiscal year. This was an increase of \$24,259 from the previous year. The changes from the previous fiscal year are depicted by category as follows:

| | 20 06 | 2005 | Change |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Water charges | \$220,861 | \$218,441 | \$ 2,420 |
| Connection fees | 1,227 | - | 1,227 |
| Property taxes | 44,919 | 36,8 80 | 8,0 39 |
| Interest income | 2 7,8 89 . | 16,809 | 11,080 |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | 8,8 95 | 14,579 | (5,684) |
| Impact fees | 5,3 13 | - | 5,313 |
| Miscellaneous | 10,014 | 8,150 | 1,864 |
| | \$319,118 | \$294,859 | \$ 24,259 |
| | | | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | Change |
| Water charges | \$218,441 | \$219,818 | \$ (1,377) |
| Property taxes | 36,880 | 34,9 92 | 1,888 |
| Interest income | 16,8 09 | 8,754 | 8,055 |
| Gain on sale of fixed assets | 14,579 | • | 14,579 |
| Miscellaneous | 8,150 | 6,155 | 1,995 |
| | \$294,859 | \$269,719 | \$ 25,140 |
| | | | |

Expenses increased from the previous year. Operating expenses were \$237,812 in 2006, compared with \$198,050 in 2005. The major increase was in professional services expense because of on-going litigation with the contractor who constructed system improvements in 2003 and 2004. The part-time water manager contract was also increased during the second quarter of 2006.

The District's total long-term obligations decreased during 2006 by \$64,000. The District has \$1,100,310 of outstanding water revenue bonds due serially through 2023 with interest rates ranging from 1.96% to 3.37%.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements.

The Statement of Net Assets presents information on all the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Assets presents information showing how the District's net assets changed during the year presented. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future periods.

The Statement of Cash Flows presents information about the District's cash receipts and cash payments during the reporting period. The statement reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operations, investing, and financing activities and provides answers to such questions as where did cash come from, what was cash used for, and what was the change in cash balance during the reporting period.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

To begin our analysis, a summary of the District's Statement of Net Assets is presented in Table A-1.

As noted earlier, net assets may serve, over time, as a useful indicator of the District's financial position. As of December 31, 2006, the District's assets exceeded liabilities by \$1,044,517. Previous year net assets were \$993,700. The increase from the previous year was due to the increases in property taxes and interest income.

TABLE A-1
Condensed Statement of Net Assets

| | December December 31, 2006 31, 2005 Chang | | Change |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Current and other assets Capital assets | \$ 653,069 1,547,959 | \$ 627,173 1,555,467 | \$2 5,8 96 (7,508) |
| Total assets | 2,201,028 | 2,182,640 | 18,388 |
| Long-term obligations | 1,033,310 | 1,100,310 | (67,000) |
| Other liabilities | 123,201 | 88, 630 | 34,5 71 |
| Total liabilities | 1,156,511 | 1,188,940 | (32,429) |
| Net assets invested in capital Assets, net of related debt Net assets restricted for debt | 447, 64 9 | 391,157 | 56,492 |
| Service and replacement | 165,796 | 156,400 | 9,396 |
| Net assets unrestricted | 431,072 | 446,143 | (15,071) |
| Total net assets | \$1,044,517 | \$ 993,700 | \$ 50,817 |

TABLE A-1- Continued Condensed Statement of Net Assets

| | December 31, 2005 | December 31, 2004 | Change |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Current and other assets | \$ 627,173 | \$ 580,113 | \$47, 0 60 |
| Capital assets | 1,555,467 | 1,620,806 | (65,339) |
| Total assets | 2,182,640 | 2,200,919 | (18,279) |

| Long-term obligations Other liabilities Total liabilities | 1,100,310 88,630 1,188,940 | 1,164,310 107,437 1,271,747 | (64,000) (18,807) (82,807) |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Net assets invested in capital Assets, net of related debt Net assets restricted for debt | 391,157 | 393,496 | (2,339) |
| Service and replacement | 156,400 | 11 8, 621 | 37,779 |
| Net assets unrestricted | 446,143 | 417,055 | 29,088 |
| Total net assets | \$ 993,700 | \$ 929,172 | \$ 64,528 |

TABLE A-2 Changes in Net Assets

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Change |
|---|--|---|--|
| Operating revenues Water charges Connection fees | \$220,861 1,227 | \$218,4 4 1 | \$ 2,420 1,227 |
| Total operating revenues | 222,088 | 218,441 | 3,647 |
| Operating expenses Wages and benefits Repairs and maintenance Professional services Utilities and telephone Insurance Supplies Miscellaneous Depreciation and amortization Total operating expenses | 15,685 13,356 99,584 29,818 12,358 2,809 3,011 61,191 | 34,351 5,161 64,146 17,261 11,783 2,789 3,189 59,370 | (18,666) 8,195 35,438 12,557 575 20 (178) 1,821 |
| Operating income Nonoperating revenues (expenses) Interest income Property taxes Gain on sale of fixed assets Other revenue Impact fees Interest expense | 27,889 44,919 8,895 10,014 5,313 (30,489) | 20,391 16,809 36,880 14,579 8,150 (32,281) | (36,115) 11,080 8,039 (5,684) 1,864 5,313 1,792 |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | 66,541 | 44,137 | 22,404 |
| Increase in net assets | 50,817 | 64,528 | \$(13,711) |
| Net assets at beginning of year | 993,700 | 929,172 | |
| Net assets at end of year | \$1,044,51 7 | \$993,700 | |

TABLE A-2- Continued Changes in Net Assets-Continued

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Year Ended December 31, 2004 | Change |
|--|---|--|--|
| Operating revenues Water charges | \$218,441 | \$219,818 | \$(1,377) |
| Operating expenses Wages and benefits Repairs and maintenance Professional services Utilities and telephone Insurance Supplies Miscellaneous Depreciation and amortization Total operating expenses | 34,351 5,161 64,146 17,261 11,783 2,789 3,189 59,370 | 72,401 10,447 25,416 13,591 11,385 2,867 2,909 61,688 | (38,050) (5,286) 38,730 3,670 398 (78) 280 (2,318) (2,654) |
| Operating income | 20,391 | 19,114 | 1,277 |
| Nonoperating revenues (expenses) Interest income Property taxes Gain on sale of fixed assets Other revenue Interest expense | 16,809 36,880 14,579 8,150 (32,281) | 8,754 34,992 - 6,155 (34,019) | 8,055 1,888 14,579 1,995 1,738 |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | 44,137 | 15,882 | 28,255 |
| Increase in net assets | 64,528 | 34,996 | \$29,532 |
| Net assets at beginning of year | 929,172 | 894,176 | |
| Net assets at end of year | \$993,700 | \$9 29,172 | |

The change in net assets for 2006 was due primarily to the increases in interest income and property taxes. The savings from the decrease in wages and benefits was offset by the increase in professional services.

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

During the year, the budgeted revenues were not changed from the original budget of \$286,490, nor were there any amendments to budgeted expenditures. Monthly reports that monitor revenues and expenses are presented to the Board of Trustees. Actual revenues exceeded budgeted revenues by \$32,628. This increase was a combination of three factors, property taxes increasing because of growth, an increase in interest income, and the sale of old equipment.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

At the end of fiscal year 2006, \$2,351,260 had been invested in capital assets, as shown in Table A-3. This amount increased by \$30,676 over the prior year primarily due to the improvement of the chlorine room and the capitalization of engineering costs related to a planned project to improve the transmission lines.

TABLE A-3 Capital Assets at Cost

| December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|----------------------|--|
| \$ 11,537 | \$ 11,537 |
| 29,400 | - |
| 153,295 | 144,745 |
| 1,842,607 | 1,827,607 |
| 272,636 | 272, 63 6 |
| 41,785 | 64,059 |
| \$2,351,26 0 | \$2,320,584 |
| | \$ 11,537 29,400 153,295 1,842,607 272,636 41,785 |

TABLE A-3 Capital Assets at Cost

| | December 31, 2005 | December 31, 2004 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Land improvements Buildings | \$ 11,537 144,745 | \$ 11,537 144,745 |
| Wells, pumps and water lines | 1,827,607 | 1,827,607 |
| Tanks and reservoirs | 272,636 | 272,636 |
| Machinery and equipment | 64,059 | 103,456 |
| | \$2,320,584 | \$2,359,981 |

Additional information on the District's capital assets can be found in Note E on pages 19 and 20 of this report.

Debt

During 2002, the District issued \$1,288,310 in Water revenue bonds to finance the replacement of existing water lines that were over fifty years old. The bonds have an outstanding balance at December 31, 2006 of \$1,100,310.

The following summarizes the long-term debt activity for the District for fiscal year 2006,

TABLE A-4 Long-Term Debt Outstanding

| | Balance at | Balance at | |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|
| | December 31, | December 31, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | Change |
| 2002 Revenue bonds | \$1 ,100,310 | \$1,164,310 | \$(64,000) |

TABLE A-4 Long-Term Debt Outstanding

| | Balance at | Balance at | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | December 31, | December 31, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | Change |
| 2002 Revenue bonds | \$1 ,16 4 ,310 | \$1,227,310 | \$ (63,000) |

The District has never received a bond rating.

Additional information on the District's Long-Term Debt can be found in Note F on pages 20 and 21 of this report.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S OPERATIONS

Many operational issues are affecting the District. The primary issue is the completion of Phase 2 of the capital improvements plan. The Phase 2 project has recently been bid out to contractors and we are currently in process of awarding this bid. The project will be completed by year-end.

The fiscal year 2007 budget reflects an increase from the fiscal year 2006 budget in the amount of \$607,000. The increase represents two factors. The first is a slight increase in revenues relating to interest income and property taxes. The second is the use of construction project reserves of \$400,000 and the issuance of new debt to complete Phase 2 of the capital facilities plan.

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANGEMENT

This report is designed to provide a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, contact the District's Finance Director at 13768 S 4170 W, Riverton Utah 84006, by phone at (801) 580-6327.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Copperton Improvement District Statements of Net Assets December 31,

| | 2006 | _ | 2005 |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 130,588 | \$ | 126,580 |
| Accounts receivable (no allowance for | | | |
| doubtful accouts considered necessary) | 20,488 | | 22,005 |
| Property taxes receivable | 311 | | 6 06 |
| Total current assets | 151,387 | | 149,191 |
| Noncurrent assets: | | | |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 476,048 | | 45 1,6 15 |
| Nondepreciable capital assets | 29,400 | | - |
| Depreciable capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation | 1,5 1 8,55 9 | | 1,55 5,4 67 |
| Debt issue costs, net | 25,634 | | 26,367 |
| Total noncurrent assets | 2,049,641 | | 2,033,449 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,201,028 | \$ | 2,182,640 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 37,483 | \$ | 4 , 9 55 |
| Accrued liabilities | 2,615 | | 2,790 |
| Current liabilities payable from restricted assets | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 16,103 | | 16,885 |
| Current portion, revenue bonds payable | 67,000 | | 64,000 |
| Total current liabilities | 123,201 | _ | 88,630 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | | | |
| Revenue bonds payable | 1,033,310 | | 1,100,310 |
| Total noncurrent liabilities | 1,033,310 | | 1,100,310 |
| Total liabilities | 1,156,511 | | 1,188,940 |
| NET ASSETS | | | |
| Invested in capital assets, net of | | | |
| related debt | 447,649 | | 391,157 |
| Restricted for debt service and replacement | 165,796 | | 15 6,4 00 |
| Unrestricted (deficit) | 431,072 | | 446,143 |
| Total net assets | 1,044,517 | | 993,700 |
| Total liabilities and net assets | \$ 2,201,028 | <u>\$</u> | 2,182,640 |

Copperton Improvement District Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Assets For the Years Ended December 31,

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|--------------|------------------------|
| Operating revenues (pledged as security for | | |
| revenue bonds) | | |
| Water charges | \$ 220,861 | \$ 218,441 |
| Connection fees | 1,227 | |
| Total operating revenues | 222,088 | 218,441 |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Wages and benefits | 15,685 | 34,351 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 13,356 | 5, 161 |
| Professional services | 99,584 | 64,146 |
| Utilities and telephone | 29,818 | 17,261 |
| Insurance | 12,358 | 11,783 |
| Supplies | 2,809 | 2,789 |
| Miscellaneous | 3,011 | 3,189 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 61,191 | 59,370 |
| Total operating expenses | 237,812 | 198,050 |
| Operating income | (15,724) | 20,391 |
| Nonoperating revenues (expenses) | | |
| Interest income | 27,889 | 1 6,8 09 |
| Property taxes | 44,919 | 36,880 |
| Gain on sale of capital assets | 8,895 | 14,579 |
| Other revenue | 10,014 | 8,150 |
| Impact fees | 5,313 | - |
| Interest expense | (30,489) | (32,281) |
| Total nonoperating revenues (expenses) | 66,541 | 44,137 |
| Increase in net assets | 50,817 | 64,528 |
| Net assets at beginning of year | 993,700 | 929,172 |
| Net assets at end of year | \$ 1,044,517 | \$ 993,700 |
| | | · |

Copperton Improvement District Statements of Cash Flows For the years ended December 31,

| | | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|----|---|---|
| Cash flows from operating activities Receipts from customers Payments to suppliers Payments to employees Other receipts | \$ | 223,605 (128,408) (15,760) 9,914 | \$ 220,365 (120,387) (35,753) 6,550 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 89,351 | 70,775 |
| Cash flows from noncapital financing activities Property taxes collected | | 45,214 | 36,274 |
| Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities | | 45,214 | 36,274 |
| Cash flows from capital and related financing activities Purchase of capital assets Payments from restricted assets to suppliers Issuance of long-term obligations Principal paid on long-term obligations Interest paid on long-term obligations Impact fees collected | | (52,950) - - (64,000) (31,271) 5,313 | - - (63,000) (33,027) - |
| Net cash used by capital and related financing activities | • | (142,908) | (96,027) |
| Cash flows from investing activities Interest income collected Proceeds from sale of capital assets | | 27,889 8 ,895 | 16,809 21,280 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | | 36,784 | 38 ,089 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 28,441 | 49,111 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 578,195 | 529,084 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ | 606,636 | \$ 57 8 ,195 |
| Cash and cash equivalents consists of : Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 130,588 476,048 606,636 | \$ 126,580 451,615 578,195 |
| Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities Operating income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash used | \$ | (15,724) | \$ 20,391 |
| in operating activities Depreciation and amortization Changes in assets and liabilities | | 61,191 | 59,370 |
| Receivables Accounts payable Accrued liabilities Deposits & other receipts | | 1,517 32,528 (75) 9,914 | 1,924 (16,057) (1,403) 6,550 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ | 89,351 | \$ 70,775 |

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Copperton Improvement District (the District) was established under the laws of the State of Utah in 1956 by resolution of the Board of County Commissioners of Salt Lake County, Utah (the County). The District operates under the direction of an elected Board of Trustees. The purpose of the District is to acquire and operate a system for the distribution of culinary water to the Copperton, Utah area.

The District's financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations). Governments are also required to follow the pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued through November 30, 1989 (when applicable) that do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements. Although the District has the option to apply FASB pronouncements issued after that date, the District has chosen not to do so. The more significant accounting policies established in GAAP and used by the District are discussed below.

Reporting entity

The County does not impose will or have a financial benefit, burden or dependency relationship with the District and therefore, the District is not considered a component unit of the County. There are no entities that are component units of the District.

Basic Financial Statements and Basis of Accounting

The District is a government entity accounted for as an enterprise fund and categorized as a business-type activity. Operations are financed in a manner similar to private business enterprises, where the intent is that costs of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

The records of the District are maintained on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are earned, and expenses are recognized when they are incurred. Operating revenues and expenses are those that result from providing services and producing and delivering goods and/or services. Nonoperating revenues and expenses are those related to capital and related financing, noncapital financing, or investing activities.

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES - CONTINUED

Budgetary Data

On an annual basis, the District prepares a tentative budget which is adopted on or before the first regularly scheduled meeting of the board of trustees in December. A public hearing is scheduled for the meeting in December. The final budget is adopted by resolution at the board meeting in December, following the public hearing.

The budget is adopted on a basis consistent with GAAP with the following exceptions:

- Bond principal retired is budgeted as nonoperating expenditures.
- Proceeds from issuing long-term debt are budgeted as other sources.

Cash and Investments

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the District considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Capital assets

Capital assets are defined by the District as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$500 and an estimated useful life in excess of 5 years. The District records its capital assets at cost. Contributed capital assets are valued at their estimated fair market value on the date of contribution. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are charged to current period operating expenses, whereas additions and improvements are capitalized.

Depreciation of property and equipment has been provided using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| | T Eal S |
|------------------------------|---------------|
| Buildings | 15-40 |
| Wells, pumps and water lines | 15- 34 |
| Tanks and reservoirs | 10-40 |
| Machinery and equipment | 5-10 |

Bond issue costs

Bond issue costs are recorded as an asset and amortized over the life of the related bonds. Amortization is computed on the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method.

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES - CONTINUED

Property taxes

On or before June 22 of each year, the District sets the property tax rate. If the District intends to adopt a tax rate higher than the certified tax rate, state law requires the District to provide public notice to property owners and hold public hearings. When these special public hearings are necessary, the adoption of the final budget is made subsequent to June 22. All property taxes levied by the District are assessed and collected by Salt Lake County. Taxes are levied as of January 1 and are due November 30; any delinquent taxes are subject to a penalty. Unless the delinquent taxes and penalties are paid before January 15, a lien is attached to the property, and the amount of taxes and penalties bears interest from January 1 until paid. If after five years, delinquent taxes have not been paid, the County sells the property at a tax sale. Tax collections are remitted to the District from the County on a monthly basis.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE B - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

The District's deposits and investing are governed by the Utah Money Management Act (*Utah Code*, Title 51, Chapter 7) and rules of the State of Utah Money Management Council.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be recovered. The Money Management Act requires deposits be in a qualified depository. The Act defines a qualified depository as any financial institution whose deposits are insured by an agency of federal government and which has been certified by the State Commissioner of Financial Institutions as meeting the requirements of the Act and adhering to the rules of the Utah Money Management Council.

The deposits in the bank in excess of the insured amount are uninsured and uncollateralized. Deposits are not collateralized nor are they required to be by state statute. The deposits for the District at December 31, 2006 were \$15,040, none of which was exposed to custodial credit risk as uninsured and uncollateralized.

Investments

The Money Management Act defines the types of securities authorized as appropriate investments and the conditions for making investment transactions. Investment transactions may be conducted only through qualified depositories, certified dealers, or directly with issuers of investment securities.

NOTE B - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS - CONTINUED

The Act authorizes investments in both negotiable and nonnegotiable deposits of qualified depositories and permitted negotiable depositories; repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements; commercial paper that is classified as "first tier" by two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations, one of which must be Moody's Investors Services or Standard & Poor's; bankers' acceptances; obligations of the United States Treasury including bills, notes, and bonds; obligations, other than mortgage derivative products, issued by U.S. government sponsored enterprises (U.S. Agencies) such as the Federal Home Loan Bank System, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac), Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), and Student Loan Marketing Association (Sallie Mae); bonds, notes, and other evidence of indebtedness of political subdivisions of the State; fixed rate corporate obligations and variable rate securities rate "A" or higher, or the equivalent of "A" or higher, by two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations; and shares or certificates in a money market mutual fund as defined in the Act.

The District's investments at December 31, 2006 are presented below:

| | | Investment Maturities (in years) | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------|------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Investment Type | F a ir Value | Less Than 1 | 1-5 | 6-10 | More Than 10 | | | |
| Debt Securities Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund | \$ 591 ,59 6 | \$591,596 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | |

Interest Rate Risk - Investments

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates of debt investments will adversely affect the fair value of an investment.

The District's policy for managing interest rate risk is to comply with the State's Money Management Act. Section 51-7-11 of the Act requires that the remaining term to maturity of investments may not exceed the period of availability of the funds to be invested. The Act further limits the remaining term to maturity on all investments in commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, fixed rate negotiable deposits, and fixed rate corporate obligations to 270-365 days or less. In addition, variable rate negotiable deposits and variable rate securities may not have a remaining term to final maturity exceeding two years.

NOTE B - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS - CONTINUED

Credit Risk of Debt Securities

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The District follows the Money Management Act as previously discussed as its policy for reducing exposure to investment credit risk. The District's rated debt investments are presented below:

| | _ | | Qua | ality | Rat | ings | |
|--|------------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|----------|-----------|
| Rated Debt Investments | Fair V alu e | AAA | AA | | Δ | <u>\</u> | Unrated |
| Debt Securities | | | | | _ | | |
| Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund | \$591 ,596 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$591,596 |

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of a failure of the counter party, the District will not be able to recover the value of the investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District does not have a formal policy for custodial credit risk.

All of the District's investments at December 31, 2006 were with the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund and therefore are not categorized as to custodial credit risk. Additional information regarding the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund is available at Note C.

Concentration of Credit Risk - Investments

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of a loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer.

The District's policy for reducing this risk of loss is to comply with the Rules of the Money Management Council. Rule 17 of the Money Management Council limits investments in a single issuer of commercial paper and corporate obligations to between 5 and 10 percent depending upon the total dollar amount held in the portfolio. The Money Management Council limitations do not apply to securities issued by the U.S. government and its agencies.

All of the District's investments at December 31, 2006, were with the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund and therefore are not categorized as to concentration of credit risk. Additional information regarding the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund is available at Note C.

NOTE C - EXTERNAL INVESTMENT POOL

The District invests in the Public Treasurer's Investment Fund (PTIF) which is an external investment pool administered by Utah State Public Treasurer. State agencies, municipalities, counties, and local governments within the State of Utah are allowed to invest in the PTIF. There is no required participation and no minimum balance or minimum/maximum transaction requirements.

NOTE C - EXTERNAL INVESTMENT POOL - CONTINUED

The PTIF is not registered with the SEC as an investment company. The PTIF is authorized and regulated by the Money Management Act, Chapter 51-7, Utah Code Annotated, 1953, as amended. The Act establishes the Money Management Council which oversees the activities of the State Treasurer and the PTIF. The Act details the investments that are authorized which are high-grade securities and, therefore, there is very little credit risk except in the most unusual and unforeseen circumstances. Deposits in the PTIF are not insured or otherwise guaranteed by the State of Utah and participants share proportionally in any realized gains or losses on investments.

The PTIF allocates income and issues statements on a monthly basis. The PTIF operates and reports to participants on an amortized cost basis. The participants' balance is their investment deposited in the PTIF plus their share of income, gains and losses, net of administration fees, which are allocated to each participant on the ratio of each participant's share to the total funds in the PTIF.

Twice a year, at June 30 and December 31, the investments are valued at fair value to enable participants to adjust their investments in this pool at fair value. The Bank of New York and the State of Utah separately determine each security's fair value in accordance with GASB 31 (i.e. for almost all pool investments the quoted market price) and then compare those values to come up with an agreed upon fair value of the securities.

As of December 31, 2006, the District had \$591,596 invested in the PTIF which had a fair value of \$591,600 for an unrealized gain of \$4. Due to the insignificance of this amount, the fair value of the investments in this external investment pool is deemed to be the amortized cost of the investment. The table below shows statistical information about the investment pool:

| Investment Type | Investment Percentage |
|---|--------------------------|
| Corporate bonds and notes | 69. 20% |
| Money market agreements and Commercial paper | 6.67% |
| Certificates of deposit | 7. 84% |
| U.S. Government securities | 16.29% |
| | 100.00% |

NOTE D - RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents have been restricted for the following purposes and amounts as required by the provisions of the District's various bond resolutions:

| | 2006 | 2005 | |
|--|---|------|---------------------------------------|
| Revenue bonds Construction project reserve accounts Capital facilities replacement account Bond account Reserve accounts | \$ 310,252 58,748 44,160 62,888 | \$ | 295,215 3,092 104,117 49,191 |
| | \$ 476,048 | \$ | 451,615 |

NOTE E - CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity is summarized as follows:

| _ | January 1, 2006 Increases | | balldary 1, | | December 31, 2006 |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Nondepreciatible capital assets: Construction in progress | \$ | \$ 29,400 | \$ | \$ 29,400 | |
| Depreciable capital assets: | | | | | |
| Land improvements Buildings | 11,537 144,7 4 5 | - 8,550 | - | 11, 53 7 153, 29 5 | |
| Wells, pumps and water lines | 1,827,607 | 15, 0 00 | - | 1,842,607 272,636 | |
| Tanks and reservoirs Machinery and equipment | 272,636 64,059 | | (22,274) | 41,785 | |
| Total depreciable capital assets at historical cost | 2,320,584 | 23,550 | (22,274) | 2,321,860 | |
| Less accumulated depreciation for: | | | | | |
| Land improvements Buildings | 11,537 88,516 | 2,614 | - | 11, 53 7 91,130 | |
| Wells, pumps and water lines | 410,189 | 46,349 6, 9 82 | - | 456,538 204,353 | |
| Tanks and reservoirs Machinery and equipment | 197, 37 1 57, 5 04 | 4,513 | (22,274) | 39,743 | |
| Total accumulated depreciation | 765,117 | 60,458 | (22,274) | 803,301 | |
| Depreciable capital assets, net | 1,555,467 | (36,908) | | 1 ,51 8 , 55 9 | |
| | \$ 1,555,467 | <u>\$ (7,508)</u> | \$ - | \$1 ,547, 9 59 | |

NOTE E - CAPITAL ASSETS - CONTINUED

| | January 1, 2005 | Increases | Decreases | December 31, 2005 |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|
| Depreciable capital assets: | | | | |
| Land improvements | \$ 11,537 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,537 |
| Buildings | 14 4,7 45 | - | - | 144,745 |
| Wells, pumps and water | | | | |
| lines | 1 ,82 7,60 7 | _ | - | 1,827,607 |
| Tanks and reservoirs | 2 72,63 6 | - | <u>-</u> | 272,636 |
| Machinery and equipment | 103,456 | | (39,397) | 64,059 |
| Total depreciable capital assets at historical cost | 2,359,981 | | (39,397) | 2,320,584 |
| Less accumulated depreciation for: | | | | |
| Land improvements | 11,537 | - | - | 11,537 |
| Buildings | 88,381 | 135 | - | 88,516 |
| Wells, pumps and water | | | | |
| lines | 364,340 | 45, 849 | - | 410,189 |
| Tanks and reservoirs | 189,140 | 8, 231 | - | 197,371 |
| Machinery and equipment | 85,777 | 4,422 | (32,695) | 57,504 |
| Total accumulated | | | | |
| depreciation | 739,175 | 58,637 | (32,695) | <u>765,117</u> |
| Depreciable capital assets, net | \$1,620,806 | \$(58,637) | \$ (6,702) | \$1,555,467 |

NOTE F - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

All long-term obligations other than compensated absences issued by the District have provided funds for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities.

Long-term obligations consist of the following:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2002 Water revenues bonds – Series A and B \$1,288,310 water revenue bonds due serially through 2023 with interest rates ranging from 1.96% to 3.37% | \$ 1,100,310 | \$ 1,1 64 ,310 |
| Less current maturities | \$ 67,000 1,033,310 | \$ 64,000 1,100,310 |

All outstanding revenue bonds are secured by a first lien on net revenues earned by the District. Net revenues are defined in the bond agreements. The District is required to establish user fees and rates that will yield net revenues equal to at least 1.25 times revenue bond debt service requirements.

NOTE F - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS - CONTINUED

The following summarizes the debt service coverage calculations for the bonds:

| Net revenues | \$222,088 |
|--|-----------|
| Operating revenues | (176,621) |
| Operating expenses (excluding depreciation and amortization) | , , , |
| Impact fees | 5,313 |
| Property taxes | 44,919 |
| Other revenue | 10,014 |
| Interest income | 27,889 |
| Net revenues | \$133,602 |
| Annual debt service for 2006 | \$ 95,271 |
| Ratio of net revenues to annual debt service | 1.40 |
| Minimum ratio | 1.25 |

The following is a summary of changes in long-term obligations:

| Revenue bonds payable | Balance January 1, 2006 \$1,164,310 | Additions \$ - | Deletions \$64,000 | Balance December 31, 2006 \$1,100,310 | Amounts Due Within One Year \$67,000 |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| Revenue bonds payable | Balance January 1, 2005 \$1,227,310 | Additions | Deletions \$63,000 | Balance December 31, 2005 \$1,164,310 | Amounts Due Within One Year \$64,000 |

The annual debt service requirements to maturity, including principal and interest, for the revenues bonds as of December 31, 2006, are as follows:

| Year Ending | | | | | | Total |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|----|---------|----|-------------------------|
| December 31, | I | Principal | i | nterest | D | ebt service |
| 2007 | <u>_</u> \$ | 67,000 | \$ | 38,646 | \$ | 105,646 |
| 2008 | | 69,000 | | 36,716 | | 105,716 |
| 2009 | | 70,000 | | 34,752 | | 104,752 |
| 2010 | | 73,000 | | 32,700 | | 105,700 |
| 2011 | | 74,000 | | 30,615 | | 10 4,61 5 |
| 2012-2016 | | 405,000 | | 119,345 | | 524,345 |
| 2017-2022 | | 342,310 | | 50,858 | | 393,168 |
| | \$ | 1,100,310 | \$ | 343,632 | \$ | 1,443,942 |
| | === | | | | | |

NOTE G - COMPENSATED ABSENCES

Employees of the District are granted vacation and sick leave in varying amounts. The District has no liability for unused vacation or sick pay since the District had no full-time employees during the year.

NOTE H - RETIREMENT PLANS

<u>Plan Description</u> – For full-time employees, the District contributes to the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Utah Retirement Systems (Systems). Utah Retirement Systems provide retirement benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries in accordance with retirement statutes established and amended by the State Legislature. Because the District did not have any full-time employees during 2006, no contributions to the Sytems were made.

The Systems are established and governed by the respective sections of Chapter 49 of the Utah Code Annotated 1953 (Chapter 49) as amended, which also establishes the Utah State Retirement Office (Office) for the administration of the Utah Retirement Systems and Plans. Chapter 49 places the Systems, the Office and related plans and programs under the direction of the Utah State Retirement Board (Board) whose members are appointed by the Governor. The Systems issue a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the Systems and Plans. A copy of the report may be obtained by writing to the Utah Retirement Systems, 540 East 200 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84102 or by calling 1-800-365-8772.

<u>Funding Policy</u> - Plan members are required to contribute a percent of their covered salary (all or part may be paid by the employer) to the respective systems to which they belong. Because the District did not have any full-time employees during the year, there was no required contribution to the Local Governmental Noncontributory Retirement System. The contribution rates are the actuarially determined rates and are approved by the Board as authorized by Chapter 49.

The District contributions to the Local Governmental Noncontributory Retirement System for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were \$0, \$877, and \$4,260 respectively. The contributions were equal to the required contributions for each year.

NOTE I - DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

Effective in 2000, the District also sponsors a deferred compensation plan under Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k) for District employees that work a minimum of 32 hours per week. The Utah State Retirement Systems administers this Plan. The District's total payroll for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$15,225. None of that amount was eligible to participate in this Plan. The District participates at a rate of 6.2% of base payroll. This rate was established and can be changed by the Board of Trustees of the District. Because there were no eligible employees for the year ended December 31, 2006, no contributions were made to the plan. The 401(k) deferred compensation monies are not available to the District or its general creditors. Therefore, no assets or liabilities of the 401(k) deferred compensation plan are reflected in these financial statements.

NOTE J - RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District carries commercial insurance for all of these risks of loss, except natural disasters other than earthquakes. There were no changes in coverage during 2006. Settlement amounts have not exceeded insurance coverage for the current year or the three prior years.

Expenses and claims not covered by insurance are recognized when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In determining claims, events that might create claims, but for which none have been reported, are considered.

District management estimates that the amount of actual or potential claims against the District as of December 31, 2006 will not materially affect the financial condition of the District.

NOTE K - CONTINGENCIES

The District has litigation arising from the normal course of business. In management's opinion, the outcome of any such litigation will not materially affect the District's financial condition. Nevertheless, due to uncertainties in the settlement process, it is at least reasonably possible that management's view of the outcome could change materially in the near term.

OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Copperton Improvement District

Schedule of Water Impact Fees December 31, 2006

| | | | Amount | Project | | Ending |
|------|----|------------|----------------|-------------------------|----|------------------------------|
| Year | Co | llections | Expended | Description | | Balance |
| 2000 | \$ | 1,771 | \$ 45,590 | Well upgrade | \$ | (43,819) |
| 2001 | • | 7,084 | 21,846 | Well upgrade | | (58,581) |
| 2002 | | 10,626 | 11,596 | Well upgrade | | (59,551) |
| 2003 | | , <u>-</u> | 1,307,229 | System upgrade | 1 | (1,366,780) |
| 2004 | | - | 22,040 | System upgrade | | (1, 38 8,82 0) |
| 2005 | | _ | 9,053 | System upgrade | | (1,397,873) |
| 2006 | | 5.313 | 15,0 00 | Engineering for upgrade | | (1,407,560) |

REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL, COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC

ACCOUNTANTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL

REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED

ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED

IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees
Copperton Improvement District

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Copperton Improvement District (the District), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 7, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of he District's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the organization, the board of trustees, and the Utah State Auditor's Office, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Oslome Kollin & Bohles PLLC

May 7, 2007

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON STATE LEGAL COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATE OF UTAH LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT GUIDE

Board of Trustees Copperton Improvement District

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Copperton Improvement District (the District) for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 7, 2007. Our audit included testwork on the District's compliance with the following general compliance requirements identified in the State of Utah Legal Compliance Audit Guide:

- Public Debt
- Cash Management
- Purchasing Requirements
- Budgetary Compliance
- Truth in Taxation and Property Tax Limitations
- Special Districts
- Other General Issues
- Impact Fees and Other Development Fees

The District did not receive any major or nonmajor State grants during the year ended December 31, 2006.

The management of the District is responsible for the District's compliance with all compliance requirements identified above. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with those requirements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the requirements referred to above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The results of our audit procedures no instances of noncompliance with requirements referred to above.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the general compliance requirements identified above for the year ended December 31, 2006.

This report is intended solely for the information of management, others within the organization, the board of trustees, and the Utah State Auditor's Office and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Odome Kollins & Bahler Acc

May 7, 2007

BOND RESOLUTION COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES AND REPORTS

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH BOND RESOLUTION

Board of Trustees

Copperton Improvement District

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the basic financial statements of Copperton Improvement District (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 and have issued our report thereon dated May 7, 2007.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District had failed to comply with the terms, covenants, provisions, or conditions of Article 5 of the District's Bond Resolution dated May 15, 2002 providing for the issuance of Water Revenue Bonds. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Board of Trustees of Copperton Improvement District and the State of Utah, as bond trustee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Oslome Kolbin & Baller Picc

May 7, 2007

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF NET REVENUES AND ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE

Board of Trustees
Copperton Improvement District

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the basic financial statements of Copperton Improvement District (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon which appears on page 1. That audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental schedule of net revenues and annual debt service is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District had failed to comply with the terms, covenants, provisions, or conditions of Article 5.1 (as the terms, "net revenues" and "annual debt service" are defined in the Bond Resolution) of the Bond Resolution dated May 15, 2002 providing for the Issuance of Water Revenue Bonds. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Board of Trustees of Copperton Improvement District and the State of Utah as bond trustee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Oslome Kollin & Buhler PLLC

May 7, 2007

Copperton Improvement District

SCHEDULE OF NET REVENUES AND ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE

Year ended December 31, 2006

| Net revenues | |
|--|----------------|
| Operating revenues | \$222,088 |
| Operating expenses (excluding depreciation and amortization) | (176,621) |
| Impact fees | 5,313 |
| Property taxes | 44 ,919 |
| Other revenue | 10,014 |
| Interest income | 27,889 |
| Net revenues | \$133,602 |
| Annual debt service for 2006* | \$ 95,271 |
| Ratio of net revenues to annual debt service | 1.40 |
| Minimum ratio | 1.25 |

^{*}Annual debt service includes only debt service on revenue bonds which are secured by revenues of the District. Annual debt service for 2006 related to the 2002 revenue bonds is as follows:

| | Principal | Interest | Total Debt Service |
|--|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 2002 Water Revenue Bonds Series A and B | <u>\$64,000</u> | \$31,271 | \$95,271 |

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF INSURANCE POLICIES IN FORCE

Board of Trustees

Copperton Improvement District

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the basic financial statements of Copperton Improvement District (the District) as of December 31, 2006 and have issued our report thereon which appears on page 1. That audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental schedule of insurance policies in force at December 31, 2006 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District had failed to comply with the terms, covenants, provisions, or conditions of Article 6.10 of the Bond Resolution dated May 15, 2002 providing for the Issuance of Water Revenue Bonds. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Board of Trustees of Copperton Improvement District and the State of Utah as bond trustee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Ostone Rolling & Bohler Pele

May 7, 2007

Copperton Improvement District

SCHEDULE OF INSURANCE POLICIES IN FORCE

December 31, 2006

| Description | Issuer | Limit | Expiration Date |
|---|--|--|--|
| Comprehensive General Liability (including Public Officials Errors and Omissions) | Utah Local Governments Trust | \$ 5,000,000 | Continuous |
| Auto Liability | Utah Local | 5,000,000 | Continuous |
| No-Fault Sewer Clean-up | Governments Trust Utah Local Governments Trust | 2,500 | Continuous |
| Property Coverage | | | |
| Building | Unigard Insurance Company | 1,330,900 | Jul y 1 , 20 07 |
| Contents | Unigard Insurance Company | 176,099 | July 1, 2007 |
| Public Official Public Official Special Bond Issue – Chairman Special Bond Issue – Treasurer | Monson Monson Monson Monson | 25,000 25,000 214,000 214,000 | January 7, 2007 January 7, 2007 July 17, 2007 July 17, 2007 |

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF WATER CONNECTIONS AND BILLINGS

Board of Trustees

Copperton Improvement District

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the basic financial statements of Copperton Improvement District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 and have issued our report which appears on page 1. That audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental schedule of water connections and billings as of December 31, 2006 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Board of Trustees of Copperton Improvement District and The State of Utah as bond trustee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Oslome Kollin & Bables Picc

May 7, 2007

Copperton Improvement District

SCHEDULE OF WATER CONNECTIONS AND BILLINGS

December 31, 2006

| | Total Amount Billed |
|-------------|------------------------|
| | Year ended |
| Number of | December 31, |
| Connections | 20 06 |
| | |
| 323 | \$220,861 |
| | |

Applications for water service on hand at year end:

OSBORNE, ROBBINS & BUHLER, P.L.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

4527 SOUTH 2300 EAST, SUITE 201 • SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84117-4446 • PHONE: 308-0220 • FAX: 274-8589

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON SCHEDULE OF FUNDS REQUIRED BY BOND RESOLUTION

Board of Trustees

Copperton Improvement District

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the basic financial statements of Copperton Improvement District (the District) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 and have issued our report thereon which appears on page 1. That audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental schedule of funds required by bond resolution as of December 31, 2006 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District had failed to comply with the terms, covenants, provisions, or conditions of Article 4.4 of the Bond Resolution dated May 15, 2002 providing for the issuance of Water Revenue Bonds. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Board of Trustees of Copperton Improvement District and the State of Utah as bond trustee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Oslowe Kollins & Baller Pice

May 7, 2007

Copperton Improvement District

SCHEDULE OF FUNDS REQUIRED BY BOND RESOLUTION

December 31, 2006

| | , | | 2002 Wat | 2002 Water Bonds - Series A and B | ries A and B | | | |
|---|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----|------------|
| | | | | | Series A | Series A | | |
| | | Project | Series A | Series B | and B | and B | | |
| | Project | Escrow | Reserve | Reserve | Bond Fund | Replacement | | |
| | Account | Account | Account | Account | Account | Account | | Total |
| Balance at January 1, 2006 | \$295,215 | ι છ | \$ 31,878 | \$ 17,313 | \$ 104,117 | \$ 3,092 | € | 451,615 |
| Investment income (loss) for the year | 15,037 | | 1,916 | 1,041 | 4,520 | 1,656 | ↔ | 24,170 |
| Additional funds deposited by the District | | | 096'9 | 3,780 | 40,523 | 4,000 | ↔ | 55,263 |
| Additional funds deposited from loan proceeds | ı | ı | ı | ı | ' | į | | 1 |
| Funds disbursed/transferred by the trustee | | | , | 1 | (105,000) | 50,000 | | (55,000) |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | \$310,252 | - 6 | \$ 40,754 | \$ 22,134 | \$ 44,160 | \$ 58,748 | 11 | \$ 476,048 |